UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,018	04/27/2006	Reiner Ludwig	P18220US1	1261	
	27045 7590 12/10/2008 ERICSSON INC.			EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY DRIVE			ALPHONSE, FRITZ		
	S EVR 1-C-11 ANO, TX 75024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/595,018	LUDWIG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FRITZ ALPHONSE	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
	VIO OET TO EVEIDE OMONITUU	O) OD TUIDTY (OO) DAYO					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ap</u>	oril 2006						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 24-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-45</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/595,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in regard to the Preliminary Amendment filed on 12/16/2005. Claim 23 is canceled. Claims 1-22, 24-45 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on 12/16/2005 has been considered by the examiner.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, is objected to because of the following informalities: the references (S1, S2, S3, Der, Aug, Red) are not clearly defined in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101as being directed to method steps which can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper, therefore they are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Specifically, as to claim 1, it is uncertain what performs each of the claimed method steps. Moreover, each of the claimed steps, inter alia, "deriving (S1) an intermediate value (IV) from said n most recent values of said response time in accordance with a predetermined derivation procedure (Der), augmenting (S2) said intermediate value according to a predetermined augmenting procedure (Aug), reducing (S3) the current value of said time-out period according to predetermined time-out period reducing procedure (Red), determining the maximum from among at least said augmented intermediate value and said reduced current value of said time-out period, and setting a new value of said time-out period to said maximum value." can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper. The claimed steps do not define a machine or computer implemented process (See MPEP § 2106). Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

It is suggested that the applicant change the term "method" in the preamble to "computer implemented method" to overcome this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 24 is allowed.

Claim 24 contains allowable because none of the cited references either singular or in combination discloses "a retransmission element for implementing a retransmission mechanism according to which said data unit sender retransmits data units in dependence on said feedback messages, said retransmission mechanism comprising a time-out feature according to which said

data unit sender retransmits a given data unit if said data unit sender does not receive within said time-out period a feedback message indicating the correct receipt of said given data unit, a response time determination element for implementing a response time determining mechanism for repeatedly determining a response time (RTT) indicative of the time that passes between the sending of a data unit to a given data unit receiver and the receiving of a feedback message relating to said data unit from said given data unit receiver...".

The Prior Art of record teaches various methods and apparatus for updating the value of time-out period in a data unit sender (see PTO-892). However, none teach the use of a retransmission element for implementing a retransmission mechanism according to which said data unit sender retransmits data units in dependence on said feedback messages, said retransmission mechanism comprising a time-out feature according to which said data unit sender retransmits a given data unit if said data unit sender does not receive within said time-out period a feedback message indicating the correct receipt of said given data unit.

Claims 25-45 are allowed by virtue of dependency.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques, can be reached at (571) 272-6962.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,018

Art Unit: 2112

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3824

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/FA/

Examiner, Art Unit 2112

December 6, 2008

/Esaw T Abraham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112 Page 5